



Pro-Life Pennsylvanians

On November 4, 2025, please vote NO on retaining these three pro-abortion Justices on the Pa. Supreme Court



Christine Donohue



Kevin Dougherty



David Wecht

Pro-Abortion

In January 2024, these Justices indicated that they are considering inventing a "right to abortion" and declare Pa.'s Medicaid abortion funding restrictions to be unconstitutional because of a lawsuit brought by 16 Pa. abortion chambers.

Since taking effect in 1986, these restrictions have resulted in an ongoing annual decline of 5,000 abortions in our State. In 1986, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court (PSC), by a 6-1 vote, upheld the constitutionality of these restrictions. However, these abortion chambers now argue that the Pa. Constitution has "evolved," such that it now contains a "right to abortion" that requires Medicaid funding as an "equal protection" for women.

In May 2023, Pa.'s Commonwealth Court upheld these restrictions. In January 2024, the Pa. Supreme Court, while not yet inventing a "right to abortion" in Pa.'s Constitution, remanded the case to Commonwealth Court to "reconsider" the "legal claims" of these abortion chambers. With this decision, these Justices have indicated that they are likely to declare Pa.'s abortion funding restrictions to be "unconstitutional."

Why should Pennsylvanians VOTE NO ON RETENTION for PA Supreme Judges in 2025?

In 2015, a corrupt Philadelphia Union Leader, saw an opportunity to flip the Pennsylvania Court from a Republican Majority to a Democrat Majority. His union funded a multi-million dollar campaign for three Democrat candidates - one of whom was his brother. All three won election to the PA High Court.

The new Democrat Majority decided that the Congressional District Lines needed to be redrawn - and they re-drew and approved the new lines, in direct contradiction to the US Constitution!* Pennsylvania's Republican Congressional Majority was immediately reduced from a 13 to 5 majority, to a 9 to 9 split.

These electoral results were caused by 3 new Judges' interference. These unconstitutional, and illegal assertions by the PA Court into the Electoral process have created improper electoral advantages to Democrats.

In 2021, prior to the US Supreme Court's Dobbs decision which overturned Roe v. Wade's legalization of Abortion, a coalition of all the Abortion providers in Pennsylvania brought suit against the State of Pennsylvania. They sought relief on two fronts: 1) to remove all restrictions to paying for Abortion with Medicaid funds (which then were restricted to cases of rape, incest, of the life of the mother); and, 2) for the Court to declare Abortion a Constitutional Right in Pennsylvania. An identical case - the "Fisher" decision - had decided against the abortion providers.

In this "Allegheny" case, pro-abortion Democrats Governor Tom Wolf and Attorney General Josh Shapiro - were charged with defending Pennsylvania's laws. Few believed they would do so. The Legislature petitioned the Court to be added to the Defense team, and Commonwealth Court approved their petition, and eventually found against the Allegheny suit, citing Pennsylvania's Supreme Court decision on these identical issues in the Fisher case. They also rejected the codifying of Abortion into the Pennsylvania Constitution. However, in 2024, the PA Supreme Court overturned Commonwealth Court's decision, ruling against the Senate and House joining the Defense, AND ordering Commonwealth Court to revisit current restrictions on Medicaid funding for abortions - i.e. rape, incest, life of the mother, while indicating an openness to declaring abortion a constitutional right in Pennsylvania!

Currently, the case is in Commonwealth Court. It is expected that the losing side will appeal to the Supreme Court. This prospect is very troubling, given the current Court's tendency to place political expediency over established law. The PA Supreme Court has signaled a willingness to changing our Constitution, to impose unrestricted abortion on Pennsylvania, and force taxpayers to pay for elective abortions!

In 2025, Pennsylvanians can take back control by VOTING NO ON RETENTION FOR THE THREE DEMOCRAT ACTIVIST JUDGES.

- **US Constitution Article 1, Section 4:** *"The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of chusing [sic] Senators."*

Please Spread the Word!

Vote "NO" on Retention